EXHIBIT G

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC. and ROCKWELL AUTOMATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 10-CV-718-WMC

WAGO CORPORATION and WAGO KONTAKTTECHNIK GmbH & CO. KG,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFFS ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC. AND ROCKWELL AUTOMATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S AMENDED INITIAL DISCLOSURES

Pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiffs Rockwell Automation, Inc. and Rockwell Automation Technologies, Inc. ("Plaintiffs") hereby provide the following amended initial disclosures. These disclosures are based upon information reasonably available to Plaintiffs as of the date they were prepared. Accordingly, Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to clarify, alter, amend, modify, or supplement the information contained in these initial disclosures.

By making these disclosures, Plaintiffs do not represent that they are identifying every document, item of electronically stored information, tangible thing, or witness that might be relevant or have relevant information related to the claims, defenses, and counterclaims asserted in this action. Rather, Plaintiffs' disclosures represent a good faith effort to identify information Plaintiffs reasonably believe to be relevant to Plaintiffs' claims and Defendants' expected defenses (bearing in mind that Defendants have yet to answer the Complaint).

These initial disclosures do not constitute a waiver of any objections Plaintiffs may have, now or in the future, to any discovery in this action. Plaintiffs expressly reserve any and all objections that it had, has, or may ever have, including, but not limited to, objections based upon:

attorney-client privilege; work-product immunity; any other applicable privilege or immunity under federal or state law; relevance; competency; hearsay; immateriality; overbreadth; and undue burden or harassment.

Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to identify or call as witnesses other individuals in addition to those identified herein, and to identify additional documents, electronically stored information, and/or tangible things, if they discover that such individuals have or might have knowledge of matters relevant to this action or that such additional documents, electronically stored information, and/or tangible things are relevant to this action. Plaintiffs also expressly reserve the right to identify or call expert witnesses in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) and any scheduling order entered in this action.

(A) <u>Individuals</u> – The following table lists the names and the last-known address of individuals likely to have discoverable information that Plaintiffs may use to support their claims based upon the information reasonably available at this time or upon reasonable belief. The individuals whose names are marked with an * are current employees of one of Plaintiffs, and thus, may only be contacted through counsel for Plaintiffs at (212) 408-5100.

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Address</u> | <u>Subject</u> |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Steven Blech | 1307 Waldo Way | Relevant Information Regarding |
| | Twinsburg, OH 44087 | U.S. Patent No. 6,745,232 |
| Gregory Majcher* | 1037 Orchard Lane | Relevant Information Regarding |
| | Broadview Heights, OH 44147 | U.S. Patent No. 6,745,232 |
| John Caspers* | 717 Appaloosa Trail | Relevant Information Regarding |
| - | Racine, WI 53402 | U.S. Patent No. 6,745,232 |
| Jeffrey Hamilton | 10634 Crestview Drive | Relevant Information Regarding |
| · | Cedarburg, WI 53012 | U.S. Patent No. 7,123,974 |
| James Kay* | 9525 Tanager Drive | Relevant Information Regarding |
| · | Chardon, OH 44024 | U.S. Patent Nos. 6,801,813 and |
| | | 7,065,415 |
| David Johnston* | 7947 Aileen Drive | Relevant Information Regarding |
| | Mentor, OH 44060 | U.S. Patent Nos. 6,801,813 and |
| | | 7,065,415 |

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Address</u> | <u>Subject</u> |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Shelly Urdaneta | 1611 Sunview Road Lyndhurst, OH 44124 | Relevant Information Regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 6,801,813 and 7,065,415 |
| Stuart Siegel* | 8796 Arrowood Drive Mentor, OH 44124 | Relevant Information Regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 6,801,813 and 7,065,415 |
| Phil Muren* | 1 Allen Bradley Drive, Mayfield Heights, OH 44124 | Licensing of the asserted patents |
| Doug McEldowney* | 1 Allen Bradley Drive, Mayfield Heights, OH 44124 | Licensing of the asserted patents |
| Ron Bliss* | 1 Allen Bradley Drive, Mayfield Heights, OH 44124 | Marketing, Sales, Functionality of Rockwell Products |
| Robert Kretchmann* | 1 Allen Bradley Drive, Mayfield Heights, OH 44124 | Rockwell Patent Program and Policies |
| Dan Schumacher* | 1 Allen Bradley Drive, Mayfield Heights, OH 44124 | Sales of Rockwell Products |
| Jeff Zacharias* | 1 Allen Bradley Drive, Mayfield Heights, OH 44124 | Sales of Rockwell Products |
| Arthur Zatarain | 401 Arlington Dr. Metairie, LA 70001 | Liability Expert |
| Emmett Murtha | Three Parklands Drive Darien, CT 06820 | Damages Expert |

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Address</u> | <u>Subject</u> |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| One or more | Address: unknown | |
| representatives of each | | |
| of Defendants | Information: The design, | |
| | development, structure, | |
| | function, operation, use, | |
| | manufacture, importation, | · |
| | distribution, marketing, sales | |
| | (including sales revenues and | |
| | other financial information), | · |
| | and offers to sell Defendants' | |
| | Accused Instrumentalities | |
| | and/or any product covered by | |
| | any of the claims of the | |
| | Patents-In-Suit; the corporate | |
| | relationship between and | |
| | among Defendants and other | |
| | related business entities; | |
| | Defendants' acquisition of any | |
| | product information related to | |
| | products made, used, offered | |
| | for sale, and/or sold by | |
| | Plaintiffs that embody one or | |
| | more claims of the Patents-In- | |
| | Suit; Defendants' awareness | |
| | and knowledge of the Patents- | |
| | In-Suit. | |

- (B) <u>Documents</u> The following are categories of documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things that are in the possession, custody, or control of Plaintiffs that may be used to support their claims, based upon the information reasonably available at this time:
 - 1. Documents concerning ownership of the Patents-In-Suit;
- 2. Documents concerning the prosecution histories of the applications that led to the Patents-In-Suit;
- 3. Documents concerning the design and development of products embodying one or more claims of the Patents-In-Suit; and
 - 4. Documents concerning the sales and marketing of products embodying one or

more claims of the Patents-In-Suit.

All documents are located at Plaintiffs' offices at the following addresses:

Rockwell Automation Technologies, Inc. 1 Allen Bradley Drive Mayfield Heights, OH 44124

Rockwell Automation, Inc. 1201 South Second Street Milwaukee, WI 53204-2496

(C) <u>Damages</u> – Plaintiffs have prepared a computation of damages claimed as evidenced by the July 6, 2012 expert report of Emmett Murtha. Plaintiffs will make available for inspection and copying documents sufficient to show or other evidentiary material, unless privileged or protected from disclosure, on which each computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered.

Based on current information available to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs disclose the following: Plaintiffs will seek damages for defendants violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 which will be in the form of Plaintiffs' lost profits, a reasonable royalty for the use of the patents made by Defendants, or a combination of the foregoing so as to adequately compensate Plaintiffs for the Defendants' infringement as required under 35 U.S.C. § 284, but without providing double recovery and as may be limited by 35 U.S.C. § 286. Plaintiffs also will seek the award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

(D) <u>Insurance Agreements</u> – Plaintiffs do not believe that either of them is a party to any agreement that relates to this action and "under which an insurance business may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment."

Dated: July 26, 2012

/s/ Paul Tanck

Paul J. Tanck (admitted in W.D. Wis.) Chadbourne & Parke LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112

Tel.: (212) 408-5100 Fax: (212) 541-5369

Email: ptanck@chadbourne.com

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC. and ROCKWELL AUTOMATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 26th day of July, 2012 a copy of this document is being served by hand on Defendants' counsel Marshall Schmitt of the Michael Best & Friedrich law firm.

Dated: July 26, 2012

s/ Paul Tanck

Paul Tanck CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112

Tel.: (212) 408-5100 Fax: (212) 541-5369

Email: PTanck@chadbourne.com

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC. and ROCKWELL AUTOMATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC.